



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,972	08/19/2003	Don W. Phillips	PLD 301	7571
23581 75	90 05/19/2004		EXAMINER	
KOLISCH HARTWELL, P.C.			LORENCE, RICHARD M	
520 S.W. YAM SUITE 200	HILL STREET		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
PORTLAND, (OR 97204		3681	
			DATE MAILED: 05/10/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/644,972	PHILLIPS, DON W.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Richard M. Lorence	3681	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		s is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 August 1933 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	a) accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). Jected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/02/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		5450.4

Art Unit: 3681

DETAILED ACTION

This is the first Office action on the merits of Application No. 10/644,972 filed on August 19, 2003. Claims 1-38 are currently pending.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because of the following informalities:

In Figure 5 "60" should be - - 68 - -.

Figure 2 should be placed in brackets as required by 37 CFR 1.84(h)(1).

Many of the reference numerals and lead lines appear not to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR §§ 1.84(I), (p)(1) and (3), and (q).

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

In line 5 on page 6 " 30' " should read - - 30° - -.

In line 9 on page 7 "(preloaded" should read - - (pre-loaded) - -.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3681

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-15 and 20-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kounovsky '621 which discloses a ratchet 1 including a handle 2 (Figure 1) and a head (shown in detail in Figure 2). The head includes a substantially silent motion-prevention mechanism including a first component formed of a first subcomponent 18 with legs 19 and a second subcomponent 13. The first component defines a path structure 15. The path structure includes a central region whereat a second component 17 in the form of rollers are allowed to move, flanked by outer regions 16 which are constructed so as to prevent movement of the rollers as described at page 2, lines 26-49. Note that in each of the embodiments of Fig. 4 and Fig. 6 the first component includes plural paths and the second component includes a plurality of rollers.

Claims 1-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chen '624 which discloses a ratchet 1 including a handle 10 and a head 11. The head includes a substantially silent motion-prevention mechanism including a first component formed of a first subcomponent 30 with legs 31 and a second subcomponent 20. The first component defines a path structure 20A-E. The path structure includes a central region 20B whereat a second component 32 in the form of rollers are allowed to move,

Application/Control Number: 10/644,972

Art Unit: 3681

flanked by outer regions 20C, 20D which are constructed so as to prevent movement of the rollers as described at column 3, lines 47-61. Note that the first component includes plural paths and the second component includes a plurality of rollers. Also note the subregion in the form of a depression 20E as described at column 3, line 62 to column 4, line 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16-19 and 35-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kounovsky '621 in view of Chen '624.

The '621 patent to Kounovsky discloses a ratchet with a substantially silent movement-prevention mechanism which meets all of the claim limitations as discussed above with respect to claims 2, 9, 11, 21, 28 and 30, except for the subregion of the central region.

Chen '621 discloses a similar ratchet device wherein the central region of the first component includes a subregion in the form of a depression 20E. Chen suggests at column 3, line 62 to column 4, line 4 that by providing the depression the second component in the form of rollers can be positioned in a beginning position whereat the

Application/Control Number: 10/644,972

Art Unit: 3681

roller 32 can be prevented from sliding contact with the side wall 20A which advantageously permits relatively low precision in the assembly of the device.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the path structure of Kounovsky with a depression of the type suggested by Chen in order to realize the desirable result taught by Chen.

Prior Art Citation

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art cited by applicant in the IDS filed on February 2, 2004 has been considered. The examiner further cites Stone '355, Kutzler '416 and Chern '803 which each show ratchets which employ rollers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard M. Lorence whose telephone number is (703) 308-3062. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 9:00AM to 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles A. Marmor can be reached on (703) 308-0830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard M. Lorence Primary Examiner Art Unit 3681

Lorence/rml